## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,542		12/30/2003	Rickey L. Fandel	70920-002	8565
29493	7590	08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
		BERGER, LLC		GILBERT, W	VILLIAM V
190 CARO SUITE 600		PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS		3105-3441		3635	
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,542	FANDEL, RICKEY L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William V. Gilbert	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf- teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 l</u>	December 2003.	•				
· · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌 🤃	<i>,</i> —						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□(	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
ı	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	·u.				
Attachment(	(s)						
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont Application (F 1 O-132)				

Art Unit: 3635

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Figures 1-4 to a first species

Figures 5 and 6 to a second species

Figures 7 and 8 to a third species

Figures 9 and 10 to a fourth species

Figures 11 and 12 to a fifth species

Figures 13 and 14 to a sixth species.

The species are independent or distinct because they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/748,542

Art Unit: 3635

art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier
 communications from the examiner should be directed to William
 Gilbert whose telephone number is 571.272.9055. The examiner
 can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack can be reached on 571.272.6848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,542

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 N. Slack Supervison Palet Examin (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

WVG W/h 1/06